

CULTURE WARS

WHY ARE GEO-ENGINEERING RESEARCHERS BEING STONEWALLED?

Exclusive: Chuck Norris questions government 'gag orders' on several agencies

Published: 11/01/2015 at 3:34 PM



CHUCK NORRIS ([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/AUTHOR/CNORRIS/](http://www.wnd.com/author/cnorris/)) [About](#) | [Email](#)
(<mailto:cnorris@wnd.com>) | [Archive \(http://www.wnd.com/author/cnorris/?archive=true\)](http://www.wnd.com/author/cnorris/?archive=true)

 [Receive author alerts](#)

 [Subscribe to feed \(http://www.wnd.com/author/cnorris/feed/\)](http://www.wnd.com/author/cnorris/feed/)



[Share on Facebook](#)



[Share on Twitter](#)



[Email](#)



On Dec. 27, 1820, Thomas Jefferson wrote about his vision for the University of Virginia (chartered in 1819) what should really be a statement of belief at the heart of every American education and scientific enterprise, “This institution will be based on the illimitable freedom of the human mind. For here we are not afraid to follow the truth wherever it may lead, nor to tolerate any error as long as reason is left free to combat it.”

But what should happen 200 years later when we quit testing alleged truths and errors? What happens when we suppress alternate opinions because they are unpopular, don't fit our agenda or are politically incorrect? What happens when we no longer tolerate opinions now considered errors or obsolete by the elite? What happens when sociopolitical agendas or scientific paradigms dominate views to the exclusion of a minority even being mentioned or considered

Is it a coincidence that in recent weeks, Washington elite have placed “[gag orders](#) (http://www.nwseo.org/member_news.php)” on agency employees about the inner workings of the following federal groups: [“The National Weather Service,”](#) the [“National Oceanic and Atmospheric](#)

Administration” and the “U.S. Department of Commerce.”

([http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/wp-content/uploads/file/Peer_-](http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/wp-content/uploads/file/Peer_-_Weather_Service_Employees_Tethered_By_Illegal_Gag_Orders_-_2015-10-21.pdf)



[Weather Service Employees Tethered By Illegal Gag Orders - 2015-10-21.pdf](http://www.wnd.com/2015/10/21/peer-reviewed-study-weather-service-employees-tethered-by-illegal-gag-orders/)

(<http://www.wnd.com/2015/10/21/peer-reviewed-study-weather-service-employees-tethered-by-illegal-gag-orders/>)

That is why Bill Hopkins, executive vice president for the [National Weather Service Employees Organization](http://www.nwseo.org/), or NWSEO (http://www.nwseo.org/member_news.php), recently filed with U.S.

Special Counsel to lift the multiple nondisclosure policies on the National Weather Service, saying, “As a taxpayer, I find it highly disturbing that a government agency continues to push gag orders to hide how they operate. This is the work of the American government, owned by the American public, and should be open to the American public.”

The free speech and public information clampdown on government employees comes largely as a result of the increased pursuit of citizens seeking truth about geo-engineering: the artificial modification of Earth’s climate systems.

To be more specific, geo-engineering is the artificial modification of Earth’s climate systems through two primary technologies: Solar Radiation Management, or SRM, and Carbon Dioxide Removal, or CDR.

CDR (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_dioxide_removal) technologies include “engineering with carbon capture and storage, biochar, direct air capture, ocean fertilization and enhanced weathering,” according to Wikipedia.

SRM (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_radiation_management) technologies “seek to reflect sunlight and thus reduce global warming. Proposed examples include the creation of stratospheric sulfate aerosols.”

Stratospheric sulfate aerosols

([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stratospheric_sulfate_aerosols_\(geoengineering\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stratospheric_sulfate_aerosols_(geoengineering))) “create a global dimming (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_dimming) effect [that] has made them a possible candidate for use in [solar radiation management](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_radiation_management) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_radiation_management) climate engineering (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_engineering) projects to limit the effect and impact of [climate change](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_change) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Climate_change) due to rising levels of [greenhouse gases](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_gases) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_gases). Delivery of precursor sulfide gases such as [sulfuric acid](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sulfuric_acid) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sulfuric_acid), [hydrogen sulfide](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrogen_sulfide) (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrogen_sulfide) (H₂S) or [sulfur dioxide](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sulfur_dioxide)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sulfur_dioxide) (SO₂) by [artillery](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artillery) (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artillery>), aircraft and [balloons](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Balloons) (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Balloons>) has been proposed.”

Chemtrailing (<http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/geoengineering-definition-of-terms/>) is the “public’s term for the classified [covert] and ongoing artificial modification of Earth’s climate systems using reflective nano-materials (aerosols) to reflect sunlight. The aerosols are dispersed via jet aircraft trails that expand into reflective artificial clouds.”

Critics might accuse popular proponents like [Dane Wigington](https://www.facebook.com/dane.wigington.geoengineeringwatch.org) (<https://www.facebook.com/dane.wigington.geoengineeringwatch.org>) (<http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/>) of being conspiratorial, but there’s global scholarship to back many of his claims.

[Chuck Norris provides real solutions to our county’s problems and a way to reawaken the American dream in his best-seller, “Black Belt Patriotism.”](http://superstore.wnd.com/books/NYT-Bestsellers/Black-Belt-Patriotism-How-to-Reawaken-America-AutographedHardcover?promocode=story)
<http://superstore.wnd.com/books/NYT-Bestsellers/Black-Belt-Patriotism-How-to-Reawaken-America-AutographedHardcover?promocode=story>

Dr. Coen Vermeeren is professor of aviation and aerospace engineer at the Delft Institute of Technology, also known as TU Delft, which is the largest and oldest Dutch public technical university, located in Delft, Netherlands. In 2010, Dr. Vermeeren [presented his findings](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LhYPUQbylD8) (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LhYPUQbylD8>) regarding a 336-page report titled, “[Case Orange](https://coto2.files.wordpress.com/2010/07/case_orange-5-10-2010-belfort-chemtrails.pdf) (https://coto2.files.wordpress.com/2010/07/case_orange-5-10-2010-belfort-chemtrails.pdf),” which details evidence for Covert Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering (aka “Chemtrails”). The report was compiled by the [Belfort Group](http://www.rpi.edu/dept/chem-eng/WWW/faculty/belfort/) (<http://www.rpi.edu/dept/chem-eng/WWW/faculty/belfort/>), based upon the prolific scholarly and scientific work by [Georges Belfort](http://www.rpi.edu/dept/chem-eng/WWW/faculty/belfort/belfort.html) (<http://www.rpi.edu/dept/chem-eng/WWW/faculty/belfort/belfort.html>), institute professor for the Howard P. Isermann Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering and Center for Biotechnology and Interdisciplinary Studies Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute.

Vermeeren’s conclusions about the report at the end of his speech were these: 1) There are extensive amounts of verifiable references in it, 2) it is a proper investigation; 3) conclusions are well backed up by the research, 4) not all of the ongoing discussion is brought into the research, 5) recommendations are valid, and 6) although most evidence could be described as circumstantial, 7) health issues could (should) be further discussed.

Dr. J. Marvin Herndon is an American interdisciplinary scientist who earned his bachelor of arts degree in physics in 1970 from the University of California, San Diego, and his doctoral degree in nuclear chemistry in 1974 from Texas A&M University. He wrote an article that was reviewed and published on Aug. 11, 2015, in the International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health (<http://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/12/8/9375>), titled, "Evidence of Coal-Fly-Ash Toxic Chemical Geoengineering in the Troposphere: Consequences for Public Health."

The abstract from the article explains its heart: "The widespread, intentional and increasingly frequent chemical emplacement in the troposphere has gone unidentified and unremarked in the scientific literature for years (<http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/massive-us-senate-document-on-national-and-global-weather-modification/>). The author presents evidence that toxic coal combustion fly ash is the most likely aerosolized particulate sprayed by tanker-jets for geoengineering, weather-modification and climate-modification purposes and describes some of the multifold consequences on public health. Two methods are employed: (1) Comparison of 8 elements analyzed in rainwater, leached from aerosolized particulates, with corresponding elements leached into water from coal fly ash in published laboratory experiments, and (2) Comparison of 14 elements analyzed in dust collected outdoors on a high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filter with corresponding elements analyzed in un-leached coal fly ash material. The results show: (1) the assemblage of elements in rainwater and in the corresponding experimental leachate are essentially identical. At a 99% confidence interval, they have identical means (T-test) and identical variances (F-test); and (2) the assemblage of elements in the HEPA dust and in the corresponding average un-leached coal fly ash are likewise essentially identical. The consequences on public health are profound, including exposure to a variety of toxic heavy metals, radioactive elements, and neurologically-implicated chemically mobile aluminum released by body moisture *in situ* after inhalation or through transdermal induction."

Interestingly, on Sept. 2, 2015, just two weeks after it was published, Dr. Herndon's entire 16-page scientific article was suddenly and completely retracted (<http://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/12/9/10941/htm>) from the journal based upon only two chemical composition questions and an overarching explanation with no further explanation than: "The language of the paper is often not sufficiently scientifically objective for a research article."

Whether you're conservative or liberal, whether you believe in global warming or think it's a bunch of stratospheric garbage, is there anything right about releasing chemical aerosols in the air above humans?

Dr. William R. Travis (http://sciencepolicy.colorado.edu/about_us/meet_us/william_travis/) who is an associate professor of geography at the University of Colorado-Boulder and was director of the Center for Science and Technology Policy Research from 2008-2013, spearheaded the report, “Geo-Engineering the Climate: Lessons from Purposeful Weather and Climate Modification.” (http://www.colorado.edu/geography/class_homepages/geog_3402_s07/Travis_geoengineering.pdf)

In the report, Travis explains: “Serious efforts have been made in the past century (<https://www.globalpolicy.org/component/content/article/212/45492.html>) to change the climate of whole regions (the shelter-belt program on the U.S. Great Plain; snow-pack augmentation in the Southwest), and to routinely alter the meteorology of snow, rain, fog, and hail at least locally; we even attempted to modify hurricanes. Weather and climate modification is currently practiced at local to regional scales around the world, in dozens, if not hundreds of operations. Weather modification will surely be ramped up if global warming is perceived as worsening weather – and climate-related problems (e.g., concerns about water supplies in the American Southwest have already evoked increased cloud seeding.) ... Precipitation enhancement has long been the focus, but atmospheric engineering schemes have gone well beyond rainmaking. The Soviet Union in particular advanced large-scale efforts to reduce hail, tornadoes, and drought, and China has a far flung weather modification program today. The United States government has conducted both experimental and operational cloud seeding for various goals, and embarked on an effort to reduce hurricane intensity. ... Some of these efforts, by virtue of their long-term implementation, have ostensibly changed the climate (<http://www.geoengineeringwatch.org/revealing-data-confirms-geoengineering-is-stealing-rain-from-the-western-us/>) of those places – some stream basins in the Sierra Nevada have been seeded almost every winter for over half a century.”


Dr. Travis adds, “Fleming’s (2007) dismissive *Wilson Quarterly* piece casts past weather and climate modifiers as arrogant ‘Titans’ with no regard for social or environmental consequences. Discussing a 1965 proposal to increase the Earth’s albedo with bright particles spread across the tropical oceans (among the first serious global warming geo-engineering schemes), Fleming claims that ‘No one thought to consider the side effects of particles washing up on tropical beaches or choking marine life, or the negative consequences of redirection hurricanes, much less other effects beyond our imagination. And no one thought to ask if the local inhabitants would be in favor of such schemes.’”

Travis concludes: “... And certainly similar concerns attach to geo-engineering. Evidence that stratospheric aerosols might reduce the South Asian Monsoon raise the specter of potential harm to especially vulnerable populations. ... aggregate climate change (cooling plus warming) across the globe ... might achieve their goal [but] losers might be legion even in an effective program.”

The facts are that geo-engineering makes our world its test tube with humans as its primary guinea pigs. What's a further travesty, as Dr. Travis concluded, is that the entire science "rests on a mixture of slender, but encouraging, scientific substantiation and skeptical analysis as well as sincere but also wishful, thinking." (And that's only referring to the science and overt climate missions.) What's even more maddening is that the covert missions and their purposes remain locked behind governmental doors with anyone who knows anything restrained by gag orders.

Why are geo-engineering researchers being stonewalled? Why doesn't our government fully disclose what it is doing in the skies above us? Does that sound like the United States our founders started?

I leave you again with the words of Thomas Jefferson: "For here we are not afraid to follow the truth wherever it may lead, nor to tolerate any error as long as reason is left free to combat it."



Receive Chuck Norris' commentaries in your email

BONUS: By signing up for Chuck Norris' alerts, you will also be signed up for news and special offers from WND via email.

Name *

First

Last

Email *

Where we will email your daily updates

Postal code *

A valid zip code or postal code is required

Click the button below to sign up for Chuck Norris' commentaries by email, and keep up to date with special offers from WND. You may change your email preferences at any time.

Sign me up!

Click here for reuse options! (http://license.icopyright.net:80/rights/tag.act?tag=3.16633?icx_id=2593665)

Copyright 2015 WND





are-geo-

engineering-

researchers-

being-

Login ▼

Sort by Newest ▼
stonewalled/print/ Prin

(<http://www.wnd.com/2011/07/26/100-days-of-america/>)

are-geo-

~~engineering~~
~~at BES, the~~

~~researchers-~~
to erroneously and

being-

stonewalled print



Note: Read our discussion guidelines (/discussion-guidelines/) before commenting.

101 Comments

WND



Recommend

 **Share**

Join the discussion...



HumanBeeing • 9 months ago

WHY is this being published on the World Net Daily website, which is, at BEST, the National Enquirer of online 'news' sites??

Norris' doing so hurts his reputation, his cause célèbre, and attempts to erroneously and fallaciously give WND undo and undeserved gravitas.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



sammy • 9 months ago

build up your health , fight!!! its so sad , i remember blue skies. i remember fresh air.
stop killing us

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



sammy • 9 months ago

them arent clouds. hope you dont give up mr norris

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



GlobalWrench • a year ago

Comments continue after advertisement

There are multiple sources sited in this article.

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Lili Vallack • a year ago

I suggest you listen to both sides of the story not just one source. If someone tells you not to listen to others be wary of that person.

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



GlobalWrench • a year ago

Conspiracies are crimes not theories.

Pay no attention to PSYOP misdirection...look at the data and decide for yourself!

Geoengineeringwatch.org

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Rob Gordy • a year ago

Here is a good thought experiment. If the chemtrail conspiracy were true why are scientists proposing ways to avoid persistent contrail formation? If persistent contrails are not possible then why are scientist wasting valuable time trying to figure out ways for aircraft to completely avoid creating them in the first place?

The idea of Geoengineering is to reduce CO2 emissions to combat climate change and global warming. Chemtrail conspiracy theorists insists chemicals are being sprayed out of planes at high altitudes for the sole purpose of geoengineering. Since we know high altitude cirrus clouds actually trap CO2 and heat how could this possibly be geoengineering? Certainly atmospheric scientists are smart enough not to make such a elementary mistake. There is a major contradiction with the chemtrail conspiracy and the so called relationship to geoengineering. It is in fact the complete opposite and counterproductive..

If we know high altitude clouds trap heat and the goal is to cool the earth it's impossible for the chemtrail conspiracy to have anything to do with geoengineering. Scientists are proposing techniques on how to predict and actually avoid persistent contrails to combat climate change. The idea is if we can predict where they form we can fly around those areas to avoid making these man made cirrus clouds in the first place.

Here is a google scholar search on 'persistent contrail avoidance'. I've also included a video.

<https://scholar.google.com/sch...>

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Rob Gordy • a year ago

Chuck. Chemtrails do not exist these are persistent contrails and have been researched, studied and documented for decades! It is very unsettling to see the misinformation you are putting out to the public. I've been studying Geoengineering proposals for years specifically SRM. There are so many errors with your article I don't even know where to begin. It would take me days just to pick everything apart. It is appalling to see someone who can influence the public spew such unscientific derp..The first thing I want to address is there has been no GAG order from the government to keep people from talking about geoengineering.. That notion is absurd! What the government has done is ceased almost all funding to scientist for research into Geoengineering. They feel they have better things to invest their money in. Now, If the government isn't even funding the research how on earth do you think they are actually doing it? Very little is understood about Geoengineering and the technology is not there yet. It's not even close.

Next you mention Herndon's paper. Herndon's research was not retracted just because of "The language of the paper is often not sufficiently scientifically objective for a research article." Did you even read why it was retracted or did Dane Wigington write this whole thing for you? That was just one of the problems with his paper.. He

[see more](#)

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



CAKU!!!! • a year ago

#CAKU

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



GlobalWrench • a year ago

Wake up people!

Connect the dots http://www.liveleak.com/c/TOXIC_SKY

Connect the dots...http://www.liveleak.com/6710X10_OR1

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›

Avatar

This comment was deleted.



Pizza Guy ➔ Guest • a year ago

Please people, don't fall for this metabunk troll food.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Ricardo Beas ➔ Pizza Guy • a year ago

Place 3 cubes of ice (contrail) in a swimming pool (the vast space/stratosphere). Will the pool freeze or completely turn into ice cubes? Of course not, the ice cubes will be absorbed and become invisible, contrary to what we see in the sky. This is what the chemtrail deniers/trolls want people to believe - So ridiculous. Our biggest weapon is common sense. By the way, here is the latest on Dr. Herndon: <http://socalskywatch.net/forum...>

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Horváth István ➔ Ricardo Beas • a year ago

Actually, yes, if the water in the swimming pool is supercooled. It's a simple experiment that you can do in your kitchen. Put a bottle of pure water in the freezer for a couple of hours. With some luck, you can get it supercooled, meaning that it remains liquid. If you carefully remove and open it, it will still remain liquid. If you drop a small piece of ice in it, the entire bottle of water will freeze in a few seconds.

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



karydm • a year ago

When we kill babies and have our leaders rationalize away the need to DEFUND it, Americans should be ready for any stupidity. We are paying for stuff and have no ideas if the money or the people using in are corrupted. Where are our representatives to protect us from this poor management.

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



wsteinbr • a year ago

If this conspiracy theory about "chemtrails" is to be believed (I am of the skeptics). Then why would they not be trying to make the Arctic ice melt to prove their point that Global Warming AKA climate change is real (There I am a skeptic also)

Global warming, or as it is now usually now called - climate change - is a sham:

The ice in the arctic is making a comeback... despite all the doctored global temperature data...

Checkout <http://www.forbes.com/.../update...>

And:

<http://arctic.atmos.uiuc.edu/.....>

NASA has their website also:

<http://earthobservatory.nasa.g...>

From the high in 1999 to the low in September 2007 significant loss.

Then from the low in September 2007, there has been significant restoration.

How do you see it?

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Pizza Guy → wsteinbr • a year ago

Chemical ice nucleation. Please check out my link and let me know what you think. <http://www.geoengineeringwatch...>

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Horváth István → Pizza Guy • a year ago

Debunked here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?...>

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Pizza Guy → Horváth István • a year ago

Oh yeah, that was real convincing. DELUSIONAL!!!

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Horváth István → Pizza Guy • a year ago

Learn Dan Wingenster is delusional

 I agree Darle wigington is delusional.

3   • Reply • Share ›



Pizza Guy  Horváth István • a year ago

You always agree with yourself, hence the delusional.

  • Reply • Share ›



Horváth István  wsteinbr • a year ago

Arctic sea ice has decreased by 40% since 1979.

2   • Reply • Share ›



ruje • a year ago

And every year, our national debt goes even higher when millions of U. S. dollars are promised to the United Nations for research into global warming, or cooling or whatever the momentary trend in the thinking of Al Gore and his looney group of money grabbers who put down legitimate scientific evidence that disproves their theories.

Who knows what cancers and related diseases have been caused by "reflective particles" or even the effect they might have on hormone levels in unsuspecting citizens or the animals and crops in our food chain? Could they be causing the droughts in the SW? Any time man, in his arrogance, plays God, the outcome is dubious, but sometimes irreparable. Frightening examples of the over-stepping of government.

1   • Reply • Share ›



GlobalWrench • a year ago

Pay no attention to the PSYOP misdirections...stay on topic with the data...

Btw, how does it feel to a traitor to your own existence?

2   • Reply • Share ›



Geoffrey Franklin Finn • a year ago

Comments continue after advertisement

Nuttyahoo will save us Chuck.

  • Reply • Share ›



Alanorei • a year ago

Thank you, Chuck. What you describe could be an indication of the End Times when "The earth also is defiled under the inhabitants thereof; because they have transgressed the laws, changed the ordinance, broken the everlasting covenant" Isaiah 24:5

the laws, changed the ordinance, broken the everlasting covenant" Isaiah 24:5.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Ihopetosee • a year ago

I am thankful for the work and resources put towards explaining the things I clearly see on a regular basis. I've pointed to chemtrails being sprayed to friends while it's being done right in front of them. They see it but they immediately think and say "there's nothing we can do about it". Well it looks like there's people doing something about it so I suppose it is possible to "do something about it". It's so obvious after seeing grid like smoke trails in the sky coming directly out of jets. The white trails do not disappear. They slowly spread over the sky, eventually blanketing the everything. The sky was blue, after the chemtrails are sprayed they are white within, roughly, 4 hours. I'm open to alternate explanations of this. It would be a lot easier for me to get along with people who offensively and aggressively deny that the event is clearly seen being done regularly. Not sure how that can be disproven unless it's all just a big illusion that multiple witnesses see regularly. Which still begs the question, why the illusion?

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Horváth István → Ihopetosee • a year ago

The alternate explanation is that they are contrails. Contrails have been known to behave like this for at least 70 years, and it's a fully understood process.

3 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Ihopetosee → Horváth István • a year ago

Contrails disappear within minutes. These trails only spread out and blanket the sky. It's already common knowledge that they spray the sky. The government's usual excuse is to deflect the sunlight away from earth to slow down global warming.

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Horváth István → Ihopetosee • a year ago

No, it is not true that "contrails disappear within minutes". The only place you can read that statement is chemtrail conspiracy believer web sites. In reality, contrails persist when the humidity is high enough. Also, it is not "common knowledge" that they spray the sky. Nobody sprays the sky.

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



lhopetosee → Horváth István • a year ago

It may not be common knowledge due to that knowledge being suppressed. By "common knowledge" I mean already disclosed to the public by the U.S. government. It's called geoengineering. The reflecting of the sun away from earth is all I've heard the government admit to. It's reflected using small aluminum particles. The conspiracy theories talk more about H.A.A.R.P. Technology. H.A.A.R.P. Itself is or was public knowledge and was acknowledged by the U.S. Government to be outdated technology. The government said they shut down the facility in Alaska. It was theorized that they use haarp to microwave the airborne particles, that are sprayed, to heat up the sky. Possibly to effect the weather in a preferred way. Have you ever heard of seeding the clouds to make it rain? It's certainly not new. And of course they have sprayed the sky. I remember having to stay indoors when they were spraying to kill mesquitos.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Rob Gordy → lhopetosee • a year ago

No one is suppressing anything. If they were you wouldn't be able to find it freely on the internet. Also geoengineering would look nothing like what you think are "Chemtrails". It is a known fact that High efficiency jet engines produce more persistent and spreading contrails. There is not one ounce of evidence that proves chemtrails exist. Nor is there any evidence to suggest that the lines you see are nothing other than persistent contrails. Not one. There has been literally decades of independent research on the understanding of contrails and persisting contrails. These are known facts. To completely ignore this is ridiculous.

High efficiency engines actually create more contrails and less emissions. It is verified in this study that was conducted in the year 2000 by German Scientists. They predicted that due to the new High Efficiency engines including the increase in air traffic there would be more persistent contrails and contrail induced cirrus clouds in the future. They were exactly correct.

cirrus clouds in the future. They were exactly correct.
Unfortunately chemtrail hoaxers do not take any scientific

[see more](#)

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



lhopedotsee → Rob Gordy • a year ago

I find it very hard to accept that all the evidence I've seen coming from so many sources, including the governments themselves, is nonexistent. Are you trying to fool me or those that are monitoring this conversation? There are many instances of the governments responding to being questioned about the chemtrails. The response by the governments is to stop global warming. So I don't get the point of ignoring all the evidence that both sides of this discussion has plenty of access to. It's too well known at this point to play that angle in a convincing manner. Contrails exist. So do chemtrails. People spray things in the sky. There is evidence all over the place. It's so obvious that even if it was just contrails, I'd say then that contrails need to be banned since the effects of, what you say are contrails, are causing the sky to be whited out as a side effect. This isn't good no matter what you think it is. The evidence doesn't support this effect being from contrails. To think otherwise is to ignore the evidence.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Rob Gordy → lhopedotsee • a year ago

You said, "I'd say then that contrails need to be banned since the effects of, what you say are contrails, are causing the sky to be whited out as a side effect. This isn't good no matter what you think it is."

I agree with this statement 100% and so do most scientist. It is a known fact that high altitude cirrus clouds trap heat. The same applies to contrail induced cirrus clouds. Since these clouds trap heat and with the rise of persistent and contrail educed cirrus, scientist have been looking at ways to avoid contrail formation. It's called contrail avoidance. Since high efficiency planes have been used for 2 decades and the increase in aviation there is an

been used for 2 decades and the increase in aviation there is an obvious problem with contrail induced cirrus clouds. With climate change getting worse by the minute it is imperative we look at every single thing that is contributing to climate change. This includes avoiding areas in the atmosphere that is supersaturated that could cause an aircraft to create these long lasting trails. If aviation can avoid these areas then we can help with climate

see more

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



lhopenosee → Rob Gordy • a year ago

Honestly I wish I had the time and motivation to look up and post all the documentaries I've seen. Some done by meteorologist, with samples taken to show the heightened levels of aluminum, barium, and strontium. Interviews of farmers who feel they are being affected by it. Plants covered from the rain becomes necessary for plants to survive is a common topic for them. Instead of trying to prove or show some of the evidence supporting the chemtrails existence, I have an article that reveals how global warming is not happening at an accelerated rate. In fact it hasn't changed much at all in the last 19 years.

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/...>

I know that they say global warming is a problem but why should I accept your evidence over mine? Sometimes common sense and the awareness of the beliefs and mindsets of our leaders reveals what they think the good solution is. Sometimes powerful individuals, who make decisions for massive amounts of people, believe the end justifies the means. I also agree with chemtrails

see more

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Rob Gordy → lhopenosee • a year ago

First of all I'm going to stick with the actual topic at hand. Which is the accusation that persistent and spreading contrails are chemtrails. I'm not sure why you deviated from the discussion but

chemtrails. I'm not sure why you deviated from the discussion but I'm going to address something you said. You say there is mountains of evidence to support each side. Again, there is not one shred of evidence that proves chemtrails are real. Not one. There is mountains of evidence form 6 decades that show the microphysics of contrail persistence and contrail induced cirrus clouds and there is no evidence to suggest they are anything but man made cirrus clouds.. Which is precisely what people think are "chemtrails". Even worse they think it's geoengineering. Which cannot be. SRM would look nothing like white lines in the sky at 30,000 ft.

The supposed high levels of Aluminum found in soil, air and water comes from a plethora of sources. Why are these ignored by the chemtrail crowd? They "assume" if any reading of aluminum is found it is without question coming from planes. They never

[see more](#)

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Ihopetosee → Rob Gordy • a year ago

Keep in mind this thread was being discussed with Horvath a few days ago. It seemed a bit of a strange twisted discussion I'm sure from his perspective. The different topics end up weaving into one another. I hope expanding on these topics is understood.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Ihopetosee → Rob Gordy • a year ago

So the videos of airliner jets spraying something out of them is fake? <https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=...>

Your saying all these videos are not chemtrails?

[.https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=mcZaJEMs...](https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=mcZaJEMs...)

I can certainly accept your suggestions of alternate sources of aluminum. This does explain some other reason why there samples would have aluminum. Of course these farmers seem to think there plants started dying as a result of the chemtrails.

Something different in the environment. Like I said your evidence is valid to support your side of the argument. To me it comes

down to common sense and who I want to believe. It's not hard for me to believe chemtrails exist.

Why do I deviate? Because I think all these topics are connected and offer a motive for the chemtrails to be sprayed. H.A.A.R.P., chemtrails, weather modification, global warming, geoengineering, agricultural manipulation, Monsanto GMO aluminum/drought/bug resistant seeds that don't reproduce. All these devices are connected and used together to bring about preferred effects for the sake of enslaving humanity. That's what I think. You may have evidence to shift the focus towards other sources but it still doesn't dismiss the mountains of evidence supporting my points.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Horváth István → lhopenetsee • a year ago

Are you serious? Mosquito spraying? No, the trails commonly seen in the sky is not mosquito spraying, it is not cloud seeding, it is not geoengineering, and has nothing to do with HAARP either. They are contrails. Geoengineering is just an idea that is being discussed as a future possibility, it has not been implemented and it is not taking place.

3 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



lhopenetsee → Horváth István • a year ago

The mosquito spraying point was in response to you saying they never spray the sky. This event is an example of the sky being sprayed. I agree it's apples and oranges though. Cloud seeding is for the purpose of making it rain. And is another example of altering the atmosphere to get a preferred response. So they are willing to do these things, why not spray chemtrails for the purpose of preventing global warming? Do you think global warming is a problem? Or a conspiracy?

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Rob Gordy → lhopenetsee • a year ago

You said, "why not spray chemtrails for the purpose of preventing global warming?" Because it is a known fact that high altitude

clouds (cirrus) in the troposphere trap heat! It doesn't help with global warming it actually makes it worse. Which is why scientists are trying to figure out ways to avoid these areas in the sky that produce persistent and spreading trails. The fact that we know these contrail induced cirrus clouds trap heat we know for a fact it's not geoengineering. The goal of geoengineering is to cool the planet. Not make it hotter. It's really that simple.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Horváth István → lhopetosee • a year ago

Of course global warming is a problem, but geoengineering is still only an idea with very little research behind it, potentially high risks, and a lot of skepticism and opposition against it. Also, Earth is not so warm yet at this point that geoengineering would be immediately needed; nobody has proposed that we should start it now. In addition, geoengineering would be much higher up than where planes fly, and would not leave visible trails. So, the trails that can be seen in the sky now are contrails, nothing else.

2 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



lhopetosee → Horváth István • a year ago

I suppose neither one of us truly knows what the government military industrial complex is experimenting with and on. They can send probes to Mars. They can build atomic bombs. They can make stealth fighters. With so many more examples out there of how advanced technology is at this point, it very hard to believe they haven't explored and experiment with geoengineering. They can make a smart phone but you think they haven't tried to experiment with spraying the atmosphere. I bet Hitler would have done it.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Horváth István → lhopetosee • a year ago

It would require global international agreement, which would be extremely hard to establish.

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›



lhopenetosee → Horváth István • a year ago

It would be helpful if every country waited to see what the other countries thought first before moving forward with such an experiment, however we have many examples of superpowers making the decisions without the approval of less influential countries. I think there are countries that are more than capable of making this experiment a reality without much international approval. I personally think most of the super powers find this a huge advantage and feel the need to keep up with the other super powers technology. They would do it simply because they don't want to lose their edge over another country. Nuclear technology is an excellent example of this trend.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Guest • a year ago

Good thing "gag orders" within backwards, inverted, upside down world government means that nobody within any of that is really actually gagged or prohibited from speaking up and out on any subject.

Because to them the lie is truth and truth is a lie. Light is darkness and Darkness is light. Up is down down is up. What's good is bad and what's bad is good. You're incarcerated if you are an honest good person and you're left go with not even a slap on the wrist if you're anyone like Hillary Clinton who kills, steal and destroys.

So speak up when they tell you that you're gagged. Because it's when they tell you that you can and should speak up. That is when any will have to actually start complying or worrying about the gag order or whatsoever.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Bedbugger • a year ago

<https://www.facebook.com/searc...>

Start at the oldest entry.

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Pizza Guy • a year ago

Please don't remove this post, for the third time.

<http://www.geoengineeringwatch...>

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Pizza Guy • a year ago Comments continue after advertisement

Here is what I am doing to raise awareness of Geoengineering.
Check it out and pass it on.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?...>

Thank you Chuck! Never give up!!!

<http://www.geoengineeringwatch...>

^ | v • Reply • Share ›



Rob Gordy → Pizza Guy • a year ago

Yeah those lines aren't geoengineering and they have been around decades longer than 2008. Unfortunately it seems people begin seeing persistent contrails just after they come accross the chemtrail hoax. It is without a doubt a case of intentional blindness. Geoengineering would also not look anything like this. Might I suggest you learn a bit about the decades of research that has gone into understanding persist and spreading contrails? Have you bothered to even look at that instead of all the unscientific derp you are reading on chemtrail youtube video and websites? They are not telling you the truth. I would hope you are opened minded enough to look at the evidence of the reality of persistent contrails and contrail educed cirrus clouds.

This is real academic research and no it's not government funded. It's the science behind it that everyone understands. You cannot hide something like the idea of chemtrails. it's been around for years yet no one has not one ounce of evidence. You have to ask yourself "why?". FYI weather modification is completely unrelated to geoengineering. It's not a secret either.

A case study of persistent contrails evolving into contrail-induced cirrus. In this study persistent contrails were found to persist for a period of around 18 hours,

[see more](#)

1 ^ | v • Reply • Share ›

[Load more comments](#)



g+

+



(<http://superstore.wnd.com/department/magazines/tv/magazine/WND-Weekly>)

TRIAL ([HTTP://SUPERSTORE.WND.COM/WORLDDNETWEEKLY-4-ISSUE-TRIAL-FOR-WHISTLEBLOWER-SUBSCRIBERS](http://superstore.wnd.com/worldnetworkly-4-issue-trial-for-whistleblower-subscribers)) / MONTHLY
([HTTP://SUPERSTORE.WND.COM/SUBSCRIPTIONS/WORLDDNETWEEKLY-MONTH-TO-MONTH-DIGITAL_3](http://superstore.wnd.com/subscriptions/worldnetworkly-month-to-month-digital_3)) / ANNUAL
([HTTP://SUPERSTORE.WND.COM/WORLDDNETWEEKLY-1-YEAR-SUBSCRIPTION-DIGITAL_3](http://superstore.wnd.com/worldnetworkly-1-year-subscription-digital_3))



SUBSCRIBE ([HTTP://SUPERSTORE.WND.COM/WHISTLEBLOWER-MAGAZINE](http://superstore.wnd.com/whistleblower-magazine)) / GIFT
([HTTP://SUPERSTORE.WND.COM/WHISTLEBLOWER-MAGAZINE-GIFT](http://superstore.wnd.com/whistleblower-magazine-gift)) / RENEW ([HTTP://SUPERSTORE.WND.COM/WHISTLEBLOWER-MAGAZINE-RENEWAL](http://superstore.wnd.com/whistleblower-magazine-renewal))

g+
3

SECTIONS

WND TV
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/TV/](http://www.wnd.com/page/tv/))

COMMENTARY
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/COMMENTARY/](http://www.wnd.com/page/commentary/))

POLITICS
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/POLITICS/](http://www.wnd.com/page/politics/))

U.S.
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/US/](http://www.wnd.com/page/us/))

WORLD
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/WORLD/](http://www.wnd.com/page/world/))

FAITH
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/FAITH/](http://www.wnd.com/page/faith/))

HEALTH
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/HEALTH/](http://www.wnd.com/page/health/))

EDUCATION
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/EDUCATION/](http://www.wnd.com/page/education/))

MONEY
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/MONEY/](http://www.wnd.com/page/money/))

DIVERSIONS
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/DIVERSIONS/](http://www.wnd.com/page/diversions/))

FEATURES

AMERICAN MINUTE
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/AMERICAN-MINUTE/](http://www.wnd.com/page/american-minute/))

CARTOONS
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/CARTOONS/](http://www.wnd.com/page/cartoons/))

REVIEWS
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/REVIEWS/](http://www.wnd.com/page/reviews/))

EMAIL TO THE EDITOR
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/TO-THE-EDITOR/](http://www.wnd.com/page/to-the-editor/))

PETITIONS
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/PETITIONS/](http://www.wnd.com/page/petitions/))

JOKE OF THE DAY
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/JOKE-OF-THE-DAY/](http://www.wnd.com/page/joke-of-the-day/))

RESOURCES

ABOUT WND (/ABOUT-WND/)

ADVERTISING
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/ADVERTISING-WITH-WND/](http://www.wnd.com/page/advertising-with-wnd/))

COMMENTATOR LINEUP
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/COMMENTATOR-LINEUP/](http://www.wnd.com/page/commentator-lineup/))

DONATE TO WND
([HTTP://SUPERSTORE.WND.COM/ITEMS/CONTRIBUTIONS/](http://superstore.wnd.com/items/contributions/))

NEWS ALERTS
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/UP-FOR-WNDS-FREE-EMAIL-ALERTS/](http://www.wnd.com/page/up-for-wnds-free-email-alerts/))

G2 BULLETIN
([HTTP://G2BULLETIN.WND.COM/](http://g2bulletin.wnd.com/))

WND SUPERSTORE
([HTTP://SUPERSTORE.WND.COM/](http://superstore.wnd.com/))

WND BOOKS
([HTTP://WNDBOOKS.WND.COM/](http://wndbooks.wnd.com/))

WHISTLEBLOWER
([HTTP://SUPERSTORE.WND.COM/PAGE/WHISTLEBLOWER/](http://superstore.wnd.com/page/whistleblower/))

WND WEEKLY
([HTTP://SUPERSTORE.WND.COM/ITEMS/WND-WEEKLY/](http://superstore.wnd.com/items/wnd-weekly/))

WHO READS US
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/READS-US/](http://www.wnd.com/page/reads-us/))

WHO'S WHO AT WND
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/WHO-AT-WND/](http://www.wnd.com/page/who-at-wnd/))

WND HISTORY (/ON-THIS-DAY-IN-WND-HISTORY/)

WND SCOOPS
([HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/PAGE/SCOOPS-YOU-READ-IT-HERE-FIRST/](http://www.wnd.com/page/scoops-you-read-it-here-first/))

MOBILE WND
([HTTP://MOBILE.WND.COM/](http://mobile.wnd.com/))

CONTACT WND

- [Advertising Inquiries \(/contact-wnd/?subject=advertising\)](http://contact-wnd/?subject=advertising)
- [Corrections \(/contact-wnd/?subject=corrections\)](http://contact-wnd/?subject=corrections)
- [Email to the Editor \(/contact-wnd/?subject=email\)](http://contact-wnd/?subject=email)
- [News Tips \(/contact-wnd/?subject=tips\)](http://contact-wnd/?subject=tips)
- [Testimonials \(/contact-wnd/?subject=testimonial\)](http://contact-wnd/?subject=testimonial)
- [Questions \(/contact-wnd/?subject=questions\)](http://contact-wnd/?subject=questions)